Exodus 33:1-23

(33:1)

קלה – Good time to practice Qal imperative of I guttural and III ה verb.

שבע = "swear", Niphal "cause to take an oath" under BDB 989a. Any special reason Yhwh takes the Niphal for this root?

אתננָה – Exactly where we can expect a 3fs "heavy" suffix. With simple prefix or cohortative.

(33:2)

גרש "drive out, cast out" under BDB 176a. Arm גָרשׁ Pael, Itpael (of divorce). Charles Isbell notes that 95% of waw + suffix forms are waw-reversive.

(33:3)

זוב "flow, gush" under BDB 264b. Arm דיב דוב. Arb $\underline{d}\bar{a}ba$ = "melt, dissolve, flow".

קלב = "milk" under BDB 316a.

דְּבָשׁ "honey" under BDB 185a.

קשה "be hard, severe, fierece", Hiphil "make difficult, make severe, make hard" under BDB 904a.

לֶרֶף "back of neck, neck" under BDB 791a.

אָכֶלְּהְ Difficult to parse. This is <u>not</u> כלה but כלה Piel prefix 1 cs + 2 ms suffix = "be complete, at an end, finished, accomplished, spent" under BDB 477a. Ouch. What on earth happened to III ה? Worth taking a look at this one.

So Yhwh sets the scene. He will keep his promise. And he will <u>not</u> "go up" with the people he delivered from bondage in Egypt. Because they are stubborn and he might "end" them.

(33:4)

אבל = Hitpael "mourn, grieve" under BDB 5a, 5b.

עָדִי = "ornaments" (with which one is decked) under BDB 723b. Root עדה II = "ornament, deck oneself".

(33:5)

אתם עם – Interesting. "You (plural) (are) a people". Makes sense.

רגע = "a moment" under BDB 921a.

הוֹרד – Good chance to learn Hiphil <u>imperative</u> 2ms I י verb. Not הוֹרָי. That is because imperative forms never ended in a short vowel and this makes a difference. Long vowel in originally closed syllable becomes short.

Grammatical note = Independent personal pronoun as the subject of a verbless clause. An independent personal pronoun can be the subject of a clause that does not have a verb. In this role the pronoun usually functions for clarity rather than for emphasis.

• אתם עם־קשה ערף You are a stiff-necked people. neck hard of people you Exodus 33:5
See Williams, §108b.

(33:6)

בצל = (not Qal) Hiphil "take away, snatch away; rescue, recover; deliver from" under BDB 664b. Here Hitpael so something like "take from oneself".

Wait. Why is it so important to remove their jewelry? Exodus 32.

(33:7)

אֹהֶל = "tent" under BDB 13b. Akk *âlu* "settlement, city". Arb *all* "fellow-dwellers, family". Sab אהל אב I = "settle down(?)". מהל אב I = "settle down(?)".

נטה "stretch out, spread out, extend, incline, bend" under BDB 639b. Arb *n-ṭ-w* "stretch out".

הוץ = "the outside, a street" under BDB 299b.

מְחֵנֶה = "encampment, camp" under BDB 334a.

רחק = "be (or) become far, distant", Hiphil "make (or) exhibit distance, be gone far; remove, put far away" under BDB 934b. Akk especially adjective $r\hat{u}qu$ = "far". Compare Arb rahi(y)qu(n) = "choice wine (from a distance)". Sab רחק and רחק and רחק.

בועד "appointed time, place" under BDB 417a. How can you find what root this is under in BDB? מומר is probably a noun preformative. That leaves זעד.

Remember that many 1st, verbs were originally 1st is so maybe that is where the came from.

בקש = Piel "seek" under BDB 134b. Ph בקש.

Grammatical note = Aspect

3.2.2 *Imperfect* (Yiqtol *Form / Prefix Conjugation*)

The imperfect has an even less precise time value than the perfect. In general, the imperfect views actions, events, or states from the inside, meaning the situation is underway or in process. The speaker or writer views the situation as still continuing, in the process of accomplishment, just taking place, or imminent. The imperfect may assume an indicative mood so that the speaker or writer makes an objective statement, which, however, makes no reference to the beginning or end of the situation. As with the perfect aspect, context must determine whether the situation is past, present, or future. At other times, the imperfect may assume a subjunctive or hypothetical mood, describing a dependent situation that is only contingent or possible. Thus the speaker or writer uses the imperfect when viewing an action as nonspecific, habitual, or probable.

This great variety of uses for the imperfect, together with its close association to the modals (see Section 3.3), can be explained partially by developments in the history of the Hebrew language near the end of the second millennium B.C.E. On the basis of comparative Semitic evidence, it appears the prefixed forms in Biblical Hebrew were preceded in older Hebrew by four distinct forms: an indicative imperfect (present-future) *yaqtulu*, a subjunctive

yaqtula, a jussive yaqtul, and a preterite yaqtul. When Hebrew words lost their final vowels (approximately 1100 B.C.E.), these forms became largely indistinguishable. This may explain why the Bible's use of the imperfect conjugation includes a preterite use and several "contingent" uses) vestiges of the subjunctive in Proto-Hebrew). In addition, the volitive modals (see Section 3.3) suggest yaqtulu and the jussive yaqtul had merged completely by the time Biblical Hebrew was written.

The following semantic categories are helpful in further classifying verbs in the imperfect.

(b) Customary – denotes an action occurring regularly or customarily. The action may be in the past, in which case the customary imperfect is *iterative*, emphasizing the repeated nature of the action: ומשה יקה את־האהל ונטה־לו מחוץ 'Moses 'used to take the tent and pitch it outside the camp' (Exodus 33:7). See Arnold and Choi, Sections 3.2.2, 69-70, and 3.2.2, b, 71.

Grammatical note = *Nonfinites*

3.4.2 *Infinitive Absolute*

The infinitive absolute is also both "atemporal" and "apersonal", meaning that only the context determines the time/aspect features of the action, as well as the subject of the action. This results from the fact that the infinitive absolute, like the infinitive construct, is also uninflected. By contrast, unlike the infinitive construct, the infinitive absolute does not take prepositions or pronominal suffixes. Even though the two Hebrew infinitives appear similar in form, they had different origins and histories.

The following semantic categories are helpful in classifying the infinitive absolute.

(a) Manner – describes the way in which an action or situation is performed or the attendant circumstances of the action. This is an infinitive absolute of a different root than the finite verb (and hence not the *emphatic* infinitive absolute). It typically follows the finite verb and modifies it adverbially: מן־המחנה "and he [Moses] pitched it [the tent of meeting] outside the camp, <u>a good distance</u> [literally: <u>ar off</u>] from the camp (Exodus 33:7). See Arnold and Choi, Sections 3.4.2, 86, and 3.4.2, c, 89.

(33:8)

בעב Niphal "take one's stand, stand" under BDB 662a. Ph (Pun) נצב and other forms "monument". Nab Palm נצב "set up". Zinj "statue". Palm מצבא "image". Akk (perhaps) naṣābu (Amarna). Arm נצב Arb naṣaba "set up, erect". Sab נצב "cippus".

בּתַּה = "opening, doorway, entrance" under BDB 835b.

בבט = Hiphil "look, regard, pay attention to" under BDB 613b. Arb *nabaṭa* "well, issue forth (of water). Akk *nabāṭu* "shine". Sab נבטאל "protector" PN נבטאל "God has seen, considered".

(33:9)

עמוד "pillar, column" under BDB 765a. Root עמד "take one's stand, stand".

עָנָן "cloud-mass, cloud" under BDB 777b. Root ענן (perhaps) "cover; appear, present oneself; intervene as an obstacle".

(33:10)

Hebraicists used to identify this as Hitpalel(?!?) שחה but now the consensus is this is the <u>Hishtaphel</u> conjugation (cause oneself to do something which occurs only with the root אחה It is still listed as שחה Hitpalel "bow down, prostrate oneself" under BDB 1005a. Pause to review the infinitive construct of 3^{rd} verbs ends in יוֹת so $hištah\check{a}w\bar{o}(w)t$. In the Hitpael if the 1^{st} root is a sibilant the יח infix swaps places so one can see why Hebraists used to think this was a Hitpael or Hitpalel.

(33:11)

רַע II = "friend, companion, fellow" under BDB 945b. Root רעה II = "associate with".

שרת = Piel "minister, serve" under BDB 1058a.

מיש מוש I = "depart, remove", Hiphil "remove, take away" under BDB 559a. Arb $m\bar{a}sa$ (y) = "walk with elegant, proud, self-conceited gait".

(33:12)

- הַעַל Ouch. Good practice Hiphil imperative 2ms of III ה verb. Not even הַעַל?

Pay attention to the argument Moses offers. "Look, you said... and you said... and you also said..." Moses holds Yhwh to his own words.

קן I = "favour, grace" under BDB 336a. Root הון I = "shew favour, be gracious".

 1 בשׁם

(33:13)

Awkward phrasing here but basically understandable.

 2 הודעני... את־דרכך

(33:14)

³ויאמר

"rest, settle down and remain" under BDB 628a. Here Hiphil. Difficult to parse. Note the form and connecting vowel before suffix.

Pay attention now. Does Yhwh say <u>he</u> will go with Moses and the people? Yes his "presence/face/countenance" will go. Maybe that is the same thing. Maybe it is not.

 4 פני ילכו

(33:15)

Wait. God says his <u>presence</u> will go... and Moses says "if your presence does notgo... do not bring us up from here".

¹ "Septuagint παρα παντας" so "by all".

² "Septuagint εμφανισον μοι σεαυτον compare 18^{a-a}; Vulgate ostende mihi faciem tuam".

³ "Septuagint^{Femin} adds αυτω κυριος, Syriac adds *mrj*) *lmwš*)". Phew!

 $^{^4}$ "Septuagint αυτος προπορεθσομαι σου, Syriac qdmj~zl=".

פניד הלכים⁵

⁶תעלנו

(33:16)

אָפוֹא or אָפּוֹ = (enclitic particle) "here, then" under BDB 66a. Used in connection with interrogatory pronouns or adverbs.

פלה = Hiphil "make separate, set apart" under BDB 811b. Pay attention. This is suffix 3ms + 1cp suffix. "It will distinguish <u>us</u>".

Why use אדמה here instead of ארץ?

Grammatical note = A cause can be expressed with an infinitive construct that is preceded by על, by על, by ב, or by ג'. See Williams §535. The example in this verse is described as "possible".

(33:17)

Again pay close attention. God is persuaded. Why? Why does God agree to this?

 7 בשם

(33:18)

הראני – Again pay attention to the form Hiphil imperative + 1cs suffix.

כבקף – Pausal forms are revealing. Rather than simply כבקף.

 8 הראני... את־כבדק

⁵ "Septuagint αυτος συ πορευη (Septuagint^{Mss} adds μεθ'ημων compare Syriac and 16)".

⁶ "Septuagint has singular suffix". Hunh. So "do not take <u>me</u>" (= Moses?).

⁷ "As verse 12^a".

 $^{^{8}}$ "Septuagint εμφανισον μοι σεαυτον compare 13^{a-a} ". We consistently see text critical issues revolving around the "face/presence" of God. Which makes sense since it is one of the more difficult parts of the text for us to interpret.

(33:19)

עבר "pass over, through, by, pass on" under BDB 716b. Akk *eberu*. Arb (*abara*. Sab עבר Hb עבר Hb עבר .

תנן – Arm חָנן. Arb ḥanna "yearn towards, long for, be merciful, compassionate, favourable, inclined towards". Sab הון in PN. Ph הן "favour". Akk annu "grace, favour". Note the final geminate form which is often difficult to parse.

בחם = (denominative) Piel "have compassion" under BDB 933b.

 9 אני... על־פניק

בשׁם¹⁰

Not quite sure what to make of this sweeping claim. God shows compassion and mercy to whomever he wants...?

Grammatical note = *Subordinate Clauses*

5.2.13 *Relative Clause*

This standards subordinate to a main clause and attributes a quality, state, or verbal idea to the main clause. It describes an antecedent (or referent), which normally comes immediately before the clause. Biblical Hebrew has both *restrictive* and *nonrestrictive* relative clauses. The former (also known as a *limiting* relative clause) functions to make a distinction between more than one member of a group or class. The restrictive relative clause thus identifies a referent by distinguishing it from other possibilities or ambiguities that may be associated with it. The less commonly occurring nonrestrictive relative clause (also known as a *nonlimiting* relative clause) simply marks a general attribute of the antecedent without setting it off against other members of its "class". Thus the nonrestrictive relative clause provides additional information about the referent without distinguishing it from another set of related referents. Biblical Hebrew uses no specific syntactical or lexical means of marking which type of relative clause is intended – restrictive or nonrestrictive. The interpret must rely on context to discern the general sense of the relative clause.

10 "Septuagint επι τω ονοματι μου".

⁹ "Septuagint εγω παρελευσομαι προτερος σου τη δοξη μου".

(c) Paranomasia – The repetition of a word both in the main clause and the relative clause can be used to express a sense of indeterminateness: וחנתי אתאשר אחן ורחמתי "and I will favor the one I favor [literally: that (one) I favor], and I will pity the one I pity [literally: that (one) I pity" (Exodus 33:19). See Arnold and Choi, Sections 5.2.13, 197-198, and 5.2.13, c, 199.

(33:20)

יכל = "be able, have power" under BDB 407a. Note the Qal U vowel pattern. ??? or is this a I *1 somehow reasserting itself – which seems unlikely?

דיה = Piel "preserve alive, let live" under BDB 310b, 311b.

Okay so Moses can see the goodness of God but cannot see God's <u>face</u>... because no human can see the face of God and live.

(33:21)

נצב Niphal "take one's stand, stand" under BDB 662a. Ph (Pun) נצב and other forms "monument". Nab Palm נצב "set up". Zinj "statue". Palm מצבא "image". Akk (perhaps) naṣābu (Amarna). Arm נצב Arb naṣaba "set up, erect". Sab נצב "cippus".

צוּר = "rock, cliff" under BDB 849b.

Grammatical note = *Prepositions*

\$ער־ / אַת 4.1.4

(b) Spatial – denotes a sense of nearness or proximity: ויאמר יהוה הנה מקום אתי "Yhwh said, See, there is a place <u>beside</u> me" (Exodus 33:21). See Arnold and Choi, Sections 4.1.4, 115, and 4.1.4, b, 116.

(33:22)

קרה "rock, crevice" under BDB 669a. Very rare. Root נקר "bore, pick, dig".

שכך I = "cover, lay over (so as to screen)" under BDB 967b. Hapax. || סכך.

(33:23)

והסרתי – Good practice parsing Hiphil suffix 1cs hollow verb.

אָהוֹר "the hinder side, back part" (in singular usually in adverbial phrases) under BDB 30b. Arb $^{7}uhuru(n)$.

יראו – Hard to remember sometimes that "face" is <u>plural</u>.